1	Reena A. Gambhir ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) HAUSFELD LLP			
2				
3	888 16 <sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200 Facsimile: (202) 540-7201			
4				
5	E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com			
6				
7	Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
11	) CASE NO.: 5:23-mc-80322 (EJD)			
12	(Consolidated)			
13	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER IN RE: CONSUMERS' ASSOCIATION) EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR			
14	LITIGATION ) SAMSUNG TO MOVE TO QUASH OR ) RESPOND TO SUBPOENAS			
15				
16				
17				
18	Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 6-2 and 7-12, applicants Consumers' Association ("Which")			
19	and Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm"), Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. ("SSI"), and			
20	Samsung, Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA," and together with SSI, the "Samsung Entities")			
21	hereby stipulate as follows:			
22	WHEREAS, on December 7, 2023, Which commenced the above-captioned miscellaneous			
23	proceeding (the "Which 1782 Proceeding") seeking discovery pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782			
24	("Section 1782") from Apple, as well as the Samsung Entities, in connection with a lawsuit (the			
25	"U.K. Action") that Which commenced against Qualcomm before the Competition Appeal			
26	Tribunal of the United Kingdom, see Dkt. No. 1;1			
27	References to a "Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the above-captioned, consolidated			
28				
l				

subpoena and SEA was served with Which's subpoena on February 2, 2024;

6

Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoenas and this Court's January 23, 2024 order, see Dkt. No. 21 at 7; WHEREAS on January 25, 2024, Apple received a copy of Which's subpoena and this Court's January 23, 2024 order; WHEREAS, on January 29, 2024, SSI received, but was not served with, a copy of Which's

Proceeding authorizing Which to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities, without

prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2024, the Court entered an ex parte order in the Which 1782

WHEREAS SSI served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on February 12, 2024, and SEA served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on February 13, 2024;

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2024, Which served SSI with Which's subpoena, and also re-served SEA with Which's subpoena;

WHEREAS SSI and SEA served amended written objections and responses to Which's subpoenas on March 8, 2024;

WHEREAS, on January 26, 2024, Qualcomm commenced a separate miscellaneous proceeding captioned In re Ex Parte Application of: Qualcomm Incorporated, No. 5:24-mc-80019 (N.D. Cal) (the "Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding" and, with the Which 1782 Proceeding, the "1782 Proceedings") seeking discovery pursuant to Section 1782 from Apple and the Samsung Entities in connection with the U.K. Action, see Qualcomm Dkt. No. 1;

WHEREAS, on February 7, 2024, the Court entered an order relating the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to Local Civil Rules 3-12 and 7-11, see Dkt. No. 24; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 13;

WHEREAS, on February 9, 2024, this Court entered an ex parte order in the Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding authorizing Qualcomm to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities,

Which 1782 Proceeding. References to a "Qualcomm Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding, as defined herein.

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

26 27

25

28

without prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoena and this Court's February 9, 2024 order, see Qualcomm Dkt. No. 14 at 6;

WHEREAS, on February 15, 2024, Qualcomm served a subpoena and a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order on Apple;

WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, Qualcomm served subpoenas on SSI and SEA, along with a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order;

WHEREAS SSI and SEA served written objections to Qualcomm's subpoenas on March 1, 2024;

WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in each of the 1782 Proceedings setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash the subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm were authorized to serve on them, see Dkt. No. 30; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 18;

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2024, this Court entered an order in each of the 1782 Proceedings granting Apple's motion to stay the deadline for Apple to respond to the subpoena that Which delivered to Apple, and ordering that Apple "need not move to quash, respond to or comply with" that subpoena "until April 3, 2024," see Dkt. No. 32; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 20;

WHEREAS, on March 15, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple to move to quash or respond to the subpoena that Qualcomm served on Apple, see Qualcomm Dkt. No. 22;

WHEREAS, on March 28, 2024, this Court consolidated the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and ordered that all papers to be filed in the consolidated actions shall be filed in the above captioned-miscellaneous proceeding;

WHEREAS, on April 1, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting April 24, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 38;

28

WHEREAS, on April 19, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting May 15, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 40;

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting June 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 42;

WHEREAS, on June 5, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting June 20, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 45;

WHEREAS, on June 20, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting July 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 47;

WHEREAS, on July 8, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting August 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 49;

WHEREAS, on August 6, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting August 19, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 53;

WHEREAS, on August 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting October 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 55;

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting November 14, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

No. 57;

WHEREAS, on November 14, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting December 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 59;

WHEREAS, on December 5, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting February 13, 2025 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, see Dkt. No. 61;

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2025, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings staying the Proceedings against Apple only, see Dkt. No. 64;

WHEREAS, since December 5, 2024, Which, Qualcomm, and the Samsung Entities have continued to meet and confer in good faith;

WHEREAS, on February 12, 2025, Which, Qualcomm, and the Samsung Entities agreed to request to extend the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which's subpoena, so as to continue exploring whether a compromise can be reached that would obviate the need for a motion to quash; and

WHEREAS, Which, Qualcomm, and the Samsung Entities wish to set April 10, 2025 as the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which's and Qualcomm's subpoenas, thereby aligning the motion-to-quash deadlines in the 1782 Proceedings and allowing an opportunity for efficient briefing of any motions to quash.

NOW THEREFORE, WHICH, QUALCOMM, AND THE SAMSUNG ENTITIES HEREBY STIPULATE, subject to the approval of this Court, that April 10, 2025 is the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm

served on the Samsung Entities in connection with the 1782 Proceedings. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.<sup>2, 3</sup>

[remainder of page intentionally left blank]

To clarify Which's position in an ongoing dispute between Which and the Samsung Entities, Which does not hold the view that the Court's deadline for the motion to quash is obviated by any non-party serving objections to the subpoena. The parties may seek a clarification from the Court, if necessary.

The Samsung Entities do not understand the Court's orders or the parties' stipulations (which specifically include the phrase "[t]he timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply") to require a motion to quash in order to preserve objections if a subpoena recipient timely served written objections under Rule 45, and view these stipulations as merely preserving the option of filing a motion to quash.

	Case 5.25-IIIC-60322-EJD	Document os Filed 02/13/25 Page 7 of 10
1		
2	DATED: February 13, 2025	Respectfully submitted
3		s/ Samuel Maida
4		Samuel Maida (Cal. Bar. No. 333835) HAUSFELD LLP
5		600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200
6		San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 633-1908
7		Fax: (415) 358-4980 E-mail: smaida@hausfeld.com
8		<u> </u>
9		Reena A. Gambhir ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
0		HAUSFELD LLP 888 16 <sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 300
		Washington, D.C. 20006
1		Telephone: (202) 540-7200 Facsimile: (202) 540-7201
2		E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com
3		
4		Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association
5		
6		/s/ Leo D. Caseria
7		Leo D. Caseria (Cal. Bar. No. 240323)
		SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
8		350 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
9		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 620-1780
0		Facsimile: (213) 620-1398 E-mail: lcaseria@sheppardmullin.com
1		E-man. icaseria@sneppardmumii.com
2		Mona Solouki (Cal. Bar. No. 215145) SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON
3		LLP
		Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
4		Telephone: (415) 434-9100
5		Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 E-mail: msolouki@sheppardmullin.com
6		J
7		Attorneys for Respondents Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
28		_
	II	- 7 -

	Case 5.25-IIIC-60522-EJD DOCUMENT 05 FILED 02/15/25 Page 6 01 10
1	
2	s/ Felice Galant
3	Felice Galant (pro hac vice)
4	felice.galant@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
5	1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019
6	Telephone: (212) 318-3000 Facsimile: (212) 318-3400
7	Katherine G. Connolly (CA SBN 313640)
8	katie.connolly@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
9	555 California Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94104
10	Telephone: (628) 231-6800 Facsimile: (628) 231-6799
11	Richard S. Zembek (pro hac vice)
12	richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
13	1550 Lamar, Suite 2000
14	Houston, Texas 77010 Telephone: (713) 651-5151
15	Facsimile: (713) 651-5246
16	
17	Attorneys for Petitioner Qualcomm Incorporated
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 8 -

1	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5-1(i)(3)
2 3 4 5	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I certify under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories above.
6	/s/ Samuel Maida
7	Samuel Maida
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
_0	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ATTESTATION

1	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER
2 3 4 5 6	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm shall be extended to April 10, 2025. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.
7 8 9	Date: HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10 11 12 13	
14 15 16	
17 18 19	
20   21   22   23	
24   25   26	
27 28	